

*CLEAN COPY OF THE SPECIFICATION*

## OFFSET-REDUCED HALL SENSOR

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the field of magnetic field sensors, and in particular to an offset-compensated Hall sensor.

The publication "Auto & Elektronik" dated 4/2000, pages 19-23, discloses a Hall sensor that includes a complete integrated system, including the actual Hall sensor. The area of application is designed for the voltage range  $100\mu\text{V}$ - $1\text{mV}$  at magnetic field strengths of 2-20mT. As a result, Hall sensors of this type are susceptible to offset drifts that may occur in response to changes in temperature and fluctuations in voltage, but which may also occur as a result of mechanical stress which the package, for example, transfers to the chip, or due to other factors. To reduce this offset, a so-called chopper method is provided, also known as the "zero-drift principle." In this method, the current direction of the Hall current through the Hall plate forming the actual sensor element is continually switched. Any corruptions in the measurement signal, produced, for example, by geometrical distortions in the Hall plate, are incorporated into the measured value independently of the current direction, but are then either added or subtracted as a function of the current direction. Since both measurements are performed through identical structures having the same stress profile, the offset produced by mechanical stresses of the package is averaged out. With addition of the Hall voltages determined by the two Hall voltages with different directions of current flow, an alternating-voltage component indicates the offset while the direct current indicates the offset-compensated Hall voltage. In the case of subtraction, the reverse is true.

These vertical Hall sensors, in which taps are arranged on the surface of the Hall sensor element to feed in and feed out the Hall sensor current and to determine the Hall voltage, are principally employed to measure magnetic fluxes parallel to a planar crystal surface. As a result, two orthogonal field vectors can be measured with one chip. Methods of this type are employed in position sensors or rotary encoders. Due to offset voltages, however, the properties of currently known vertical sensors are often very inaccurate and are thus not considered for many possible applications.

Therefore, there is a need for a Hall sensor or method for determining an offset-reduced Hall voltage, which sensor or method provides a further reduction in offset voltages.

## **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

A technique for determining an offset-reduced Hall voltage and/or an offset voltage of a Hall sensor includes applying a Hall sensor current to a first and to second taps of the Hall sensor, and determining a first Hall voltage at third and fourth taps at a distance from the first and second taps, applying a second Hall sensor current modified relative to the first, determining a second Hall voltage, and determining the Hall voltage and/or Hall voltage offset from the first and second Hall voltages determined.

The remaining offset is removed by applying the second Hall current at taps that are spatially displaced from the first and/or second taps.

An offset-compensated Hall sensor includes taps to tap or apply voltages and/or currents, and a control device to apply a first Hall sensor current through a first central tap and two taps displaced relative to the first, and to tap a first Hall voltage at both sides of the first taps through a third and fourth tap that are located between the first tap and the second taps – the arrangement

comprising a first measurement system. The offset compensation is implemented by the fact that the control device has, in a second measurement system, a switching device to apply a second Hall sensor current, or to apply the same Hall sensor current at taps that are spatially displaced from the first, second, and additional taps; and to tap a second Hall voltage at taps that are spatially displaced from the third and fourth taps.

Based on this spatially displaced tapping, current passes through portions of the actual Hall element or Hall sensor in different directions for the two different measurements. This approach provides for compensation of the offset during the combined processing of the two Hall currents obtained. Depending on computational expenditure here, either identical Hall currents or different Hall currents may be employed for the two measurements.

In an advantageous approach, not only the position of the current feed points is spatially displaced, but so too is the position of the taps to tap the Hall voltage. One embodiment, during the first measurement the available five taps, of which the two outer ones are combined, are employed to feed in or feed out the Hall sensor current, or to tap the Hall voltage with offset. In the second measurement, the terminals for the current in-feed and out-feed, and for tapping the Hall voltage, are transposed. As a result, a simple switching of the terminals – which may be implemented by a mechanical or electronic switch – can generate a current flow situation analogous to that found in a known bridge circuit. An especially advantageous aspect here is that the repeated displacement in a second direction, or in the case of the bridge circuit, simply the additional reversal of the current and repeated implementation of the two measurements, may be exploited such that ultimately four individual Hall voltages with offset are determined which may be used to compensate the offset or offset voltage, and to output an offset-free voltage.

The addition of the first and second offset-affected Hall voltage, then division by two, allows the reduced, in particular, compensated Hall voltage to be determined. Conversely, subtraction of the two Hall voltages allows the Hall voltage offset to be determined.

To determine a magnetic field running at an angle of  $\neq 90^\circ$  relative to the angular component within the Hall sensor plane, a crosswise-configured arrangement of taps is provided on the surface of the Hall sensor. A central first tap may be employed here as the common central tap for the two tap arrangements configured orthogonally relative to each other in the surface plane of the Hall sensor element. It is of course possible to employ additional taps configured at other angles in the surfaceplane in order to determine, with the smallest possible computational expenditure, an angular component of the magnetic field within the plane of the sensor element.

In the event that a spatial arrangement of taps located in close proximity to the central tap is possible only in a limited number, it is also possible to use an interpolation by performing corresponding multiple measurements at different positions from the outer, central and inner taps.

These and other objects, features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent in light of the following detailed description of preferred embodiments thereof, as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a Hall sensor element including a plurality of taps on the surface of the element as well as conductors that are routed from the taps to a circuit serving to interconnect the individual taps and to determine the offset-free Hall voltage;

FIGs. 2A and 2B are schematic views of an equivalent circuit diagram for a linear configuration of taps in a design analogous to the tap arrangement on the Hall sensor surface, and in a bridge circuit design;

FIGs. 2B-2E are four views illustrating the current flow through a bridge arrangement of this type at different switch positions;

FIGs. 3A and 3B are longitudinal sections through a Hall sensor with these taps, along with the voltage situations in the two different measurement systems;

FIGs. 4A and 4B in top view show different arrangements for taps to determine a magnetic field that runs obliquely or rotates within the Hall sensor plane, and

FIGs. 5A and 5B show another embodiment having an additional layer on the bottom side of the actual Hall sensor.

## **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

FIG. 1 illustrates an embodiment of a vertical Hall sensor. This sensor is preferably an integral component of an integrated circuit which, in addition to an actual Hall sensor element S, also has an electronic analyzer in the form of a control circuit C. The actual Hall sensor element is composed of a planar sensor wafer through which flows a magnetic field B. A plurality of taps a1 - a5, a2\* - a5\*, a2', a3' are located on one of the planar surfaces of the Hall sensor element S. When a corresponding voltage is applied through these taps, an in-feed or out-feed of a Hall sensor current I occurs, as does the tapping of a Hall voltage Uh1, Uh2. In the embodiment shown, a base material or terminating material, such as a conducting layer L, is applied to the surface of the Hall sensor element S opposite the taps a1, a2, ... .

In a first measurement system, the control circuit C serves to feed Hall sensor current  $I$  to the first central tap  $a1$ , and feed the current out through the two taps  $a2$ ,  $a5$  which serve as the second taps and are located on both sides relative to the central tap  $A1$ . As a result, half of the fed-in Hall sensor current  $I$  is extracted from the Hall sensor element through each of the two second taps. Hall voltage  $U_{h1}$  is tapped at the third and fourth tabs  $a3$ ,  $a4$ , these two taps being located on opposite sides of the first central tap  $a1$  on the line leading to the respective outer second taps  $a2$ ,  $a5$ . Individual taps  $a1 - a5$  may be disposed linearly and equidistantly on the surface of the Hall sensor element S. Given an applied magnetic field  $B$  when the Hall sensor current  $I$  is fed in, the field components perpendicular to the current flow or linear tap arrangement in the plane of the Hall sensor element S are determined by inducing a corresponding Hall voltage and tapping it through the third and fourth taps  $a3$ ,  $a4$ .

In addition, the control device C provides a second measurement system in which the same taps  $a1 - a5$  are used to apply the Hall sensor current  $I$  and to tap a second Hall voltage  $U_{h2}$ . However, the individual taps  $a1 - a5$  are interconnected differently here than in the first measurement system. The current in-feed and out-feed are effected through the fourth or third taps  $a4$ ,  $a3$ . Second Hall voltage  $U_{h2}$  is tapped at the first and second taps  $a1$ ,  $a2$ . As in the embodiment of the first measurement system, the two taps  $a2$ ,  $a5$  are connected by a common terminal to the control device C or shorted to each other.

In addition to the switching device to differentially interconnect the Hall sensor element S and its taps  $a1 - a5$  to determine the different first and second Hall voltages  $U_{h1}$ ,  $U_{h2}$ , the control device C has a logic device that processes the two determined Hall voltages  $U_{h1}$ ,  $U_{h2}$  to determine an offset-compensated voltage  $U_h$  and/or an offset voltage  $U_h$ , offset in order to output these at the output of the Hall sensor 1.

Since the two measurement systems are actuated at different times  $t_1$  or  $t_2$ , advantageously at a high switching frequency in an alternating sequence, the control device C also has a memory M in which at least one each of the measured Hall voltages  $U_{h1}$ ,  $U_{h2}$  are temporarily stored. It is of course also possible to use the memory M to store additional parameters, as well as any algorithms and the like required to perform the operational sequence.

In especially preferred embodiments, additional taps  $a_2^*$  -  $a_5^*$ ,  $a_2'$ ,  $a_3'$ , beyond the described first linearly arranged measurement group of taps  $a_1$  -  $a_5$ , are located on the surface of the Hall sensor element S to form additional measurement groups. Specifically, a second measurement group is provided that includes taps  $a_1$ ,  $a_2^*$  -  $a_5^*$  arranged orthogonally relative to the first measurement group. Using the two measurement groups arranged orthogonally relative to each other on the surface of the Hall sensor element S, along with the corresponding interconnection, the magnetic fields B may be determined in terms of spatial orientation within the plane of the Hall sensor element S.

In addition to or alternatively to the second measurement group arranged orthogonally relative to the first measurement group, additional taps  $a_2'$ ,  $a_3'$  may be arranged on the surface of the Hall sensor element S at an angle of  $\alpha \neq 90^\circ$ . The individual taps are advantageously arranged on concentric tracks  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  around the first central tap  $a_1$ .

The wiring and current path of the Hall sensor element S in the two measurement systems are illustrated diagrammatically in FIGs. 2A - 2D. As shown in the equivalent circuit of FIG. 2A, the taps  $a_1$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $a_4$ , and  $a_2$  are located on the surface of the Hall sensor element S in order to introduce or extract Hall sensor current I, or to tap the Hall voltage. The material of the Hall sensor element between the individual taps  $a_1$  -  $a_4$  forms an electrical resistance for the conducted Hall sensor current I, this resistance being illustrated in the equivalent circuit by



resistor elements R1, R2, R3, R4. By combining the two external taps a2, a5, a bridge circuit is created, as illustrated in FIG. 2B.

In the bridge circuit, with the aid of the control circuit C of the first measurement system, the Hall sensor current I is fed in or fed out at the first or second taps a1, a2 at the first time t1, and first Hall voltage Uh1 is tapped at third and fourth taps a3, a4. Depending on the direction of current flow, a current flow is produced in the bridge circuit corresponding to the current flow situation shown in FIGs. 2B or 2D. In the case of the wiring of the second measurement system, the control device C feeds in or feeds out Hall sensor current I through the third or fourth taps a3, a4 at the second time t2, then taps second Hall voltage Uh2 through the first or second taps a1, a2. Depending on the direction of current flow, the current flows shown in FIGs. 2C, 2E are produced within the bridge system, or analogously within Hall sensor element S.

The different Hall voltages Uh1 and Uh2 thus determined from the two measurements at first and second times t1, t2 are added up in the control device C, thereby producing a doubled offset-compensated Hall voltage, the value of which may be divided by two. By subtracting the two offset-affected Hall voltages Uh1, Uh2 from each other, the offset voltage is determined.

In principle, one interconnection at a first time t1 with the first measurement system, and at a second time t2 with the second measurement system, is sufficient to determine an offset-compensated Hall voltage. As is evident from the current flows illustrated in FIGs. 2B – 2C, however, the Hall sensor current passes through certain resistance regions only in one current direction each. For this reason, it is advantageous to repeat the measurement in the first and second measurement systems at times t3, t4 to determine additional offset-affected Hall voltages Uh3, Uh4 with the current flow direction reversed. Finally, four offset-affected voltage values Uh1 – Uh4 are then added up and divided by four to determine an offset-free Hall voltage Uh.

FIG. 2B shows the current flow of the Hall sensor current  $I$  from the first tap  $a1$  to the second tap or taps  $a2$ . In the diagram, current flows through all the resistor elements  $R1 - R4$  in the same direction from top to bottom. When the second measurement system is used at time  $t2$  (FIG. 2C), the Hall sensor current flows starting from the third tap  $a3$  to the fourth tap  $a4$ , where the current again flows from top to bottom through the resistor elements  $R1$  and  $R3$ , that is, in the same direction as at the first time  $t1$ , whereas current flows through the remaining resistor elements  $R2$ ,  $R4$  in the opposite direction. As shown in FIG. 2D, at the third time  $t3$  the first measurement system is being used with the current direction of the Hall sensor current  $I$  reversed. As a result, current is flowing through all the resistor elements  $R1 - R4$  in the reversed direction for the Hall sensor current as compared to the first time  $t1$ . FIG. 2E illustrates a measurement at the fourth time  $t4$  with the second measurement system, the current flow here thus moving in the opposite direction. As compared with the measurement at the second time  $t2$  with the second measurement system, current now flows through the individual resistor elements in the reverse direction. Based on the determination of four accordingly offset-affected Hall voltages  $Uh1 - Uh4$ , measurement results are incorporated into the addition which take into account a current flow of Hall sensor current  $I/2$  through each of the resistor elements  $R1 - R4$ , specifically, twice each in each of the two directions.

As is evident from the above description, a fundamental principle here entails forming a vertical bridge structure (FIG. 2A), the offset errors of which are eliminated by a chopper technique. Unlike the chopper technique known from the prior art in which a Hall sensor current  $I$  is introduced through one tap  $a1$ , then extracted through another tap  $a2$  in order to reverse the current direction in a subsequent step, in the present embodiments the introduction and extraction of Hall sensor current  $I$  is implemented by a spatial displacement relative to the other taps  $a3$ ,  $a4$ .

Essentially, at least one of the two taps for introducing or extracting the Hall sensor current  $I$  is set to a position that was not utilized for the current flow of the Hall sensor current  $I$  in the previously used measurement system. Preferably, the in-feed and out-feed of the Hall sensor current  $I$  is, however, implemented through the taps  $a3$ ,  $a4$  used at the first time  $t1$  to tap the Hall voltage  $U_{h1}$ , while the Hall voltage  $U_{h2}$  is measured at the second time  $t2$  through the taps  $a1$ ,  $a2$  which were used at the first measurement time  $t1$  to feed in and feed out the Hall sensor current  $I$ . In the embodiment having four different measurement conditions, two measurements are performed with the first measurement system and two measurements are performed with the second measurement system, with respectively positive and negative current flow directions for the Hall sensor current  $I$ .

FIGs. 3A and 3B show the current flow conditions and polarization conditions in a cross-sectional view through the Hall sensor element  $S$  for the first and second measurement systems. The Hall sensor element  $S$  is preferably a semiconductor crystal, such as n-doped silicon, into which the Hall sensor current  $I$  is fed through the first tap  $a1$ . In the diagram to the left or right of the feed-in point, half of the introduced Hall sensor current  $I$  is again captured and again shunted through a second plus an additional second or fifth tap  $a2$ ,  $a5$ , displaced by a distance  $x1$  or  $x2$  from the first tap  $a1$ . The fraction of the magnetic field  $B$  running perpendicular to the current flow through the chip plane or plane of Hall sensor element  $S$  interacts with Hall sensor current  $I/2$  to induce the Hall voltage  $U_{h1}$  that is applied both horizontally relative to the magnetic field  $B$  as well as vertically relative to the current flow  $I/2$ . In the case of the preferred vertical sensors  $a1 - a5$ , the Hall field runs vertically relative to the surface of the Hall sensor element  $S$ . As a result, a positive Hall potential is created in the surface region between the first tap  $a1$  and the second tap  $a2$ , shown on the left side of the diagram, while a negative Hall potential is produced,

in accordance with the varying current flow directions, on the right side of the diagram between the first tap a1 and the additional second or fifth tap a2, a5, respectively. When both measurement points for the Hall voltage  $U_{H1}$ , that is, the third and fourth taps a3, a4, are at exactly the same potential – specifically, whenever the magnetic field  $B$  or the current flow  $I$  equals zero – then the Hall voltage between the measurement points a3, a4 is also zero. As soon as a magnetic field  $B$  appears perpendicular to the current direction  $I/2$  in the plane of the Hall sensor element  $S$ , the measurable Hall voltage  $U_{H1}$  is not equal to zero. This voltage is the Hall voltage  $U_{H1}$  generated by the magnetic field  $B$  interacting with the current flow  $I/2$ .

The material regions of the Hall sensor element  $S$  between the individual taps a2 - a3, a3 - a1, a1 - a4, a4 - a5/a2 are resistance regions to be traversed by the Hall sensor current  $I$ , these regions corresponding to the resistor elements  $R1 - R4$  shown in the equivalent circuit diagrams of FIG. 2. This bridge composed of resistor elements or resistance regions  $R1 - R4$  exhibits a significant offset error since the resistance values  $R1 - R4$  are usually not of equal size. The reason for these disparities includes adjustment errors, different contact resistances, mechanical stress caused by the installation of Hall sensor element  $S$  in a frame or the like, or by geometry defects.

These embodiments make use of the fact that a bridge has the property that the amount of the offset error is the same for constant linear resistances, specifically, independently of whether the Hall sensor current  $I$  flows between the first and second taps a1, a2, or between the third and fourth taps a3, a4. Assuming that a positive voltage is applied at first tap a1 and a current is fed in, where resistance value  $R2$  between the first and third taps a1, a3 is to be smaller than resistance value  $R3$  between the first and fourth taps a1, a4, then a positive offset and positive

Hall voltage  $U(a3, a4) = U_{h1} = U_{hall} + U_h$ , offset is produced at the measurement points for the Hall voltage  $U_{h1}$ , that is, through the third and fourth taps  $a3, a4$ .

If the Hall sensor current  $I$  now flows from the fourth tap  $a4$  to the third tap  $a3$ , as shown in FIG. 3B, then a negative offset voltage is produced at the first and combined second taps  $a1, a2, a5$  – however with a positive Hall voltage, that is,  $U(a1, a2) = U_{h2} = U_{hall} - U_{h,offset}$ .

If both measurements are carried out in chronological sequence  $t1, t2$  and the measured Hall voltages  $U_{h1}, U_{h2}$  are then added up, this operation produces the doubled actual and offset-free Hall voltage  $2*U_{hall} = U_{h1} + U_{h2}$ . As a result, the bridge offset is eliminated. By subtracting the measured and offset-affected Hall voltages  $U_{h1}, U_{h2}$ , the doubled offset voltage  $2*U_{h, offset} = U_{h1} - U_{h2}$  is determined. In this procedure, the polarity of the Hall voltage is not altered by switching the bridge between the first and second measurement systems, but the offset is changed. Hall voltages  $U_{h1}, U_{h2}$  from the two measurements may also be different, as long as only the offset is to be compensated.

The conditions for compensating the offset are easily derived. Assuming that a voltage  $U_{a12}$  is applied at the first and second taps  $a1, a2$ , then a current  $I_{a12} = U_{a12}/(R2 + R1)$  flows, as does a current  $I_{a15} = U_{a12}/(R3 + R4)$ . Assuming that all resistances  $R1 - R4$  are equal, then  $I_{a1} = I_{a12} + I_{a15}$  and  $I_{a12} = I_{a15}$ , with the result that no offset is present for bridge voltage  $U_{h1}$  between the third and fourth taps  $a3, a4$ , and this voltage then equals the actual Hall voltage  $U_h$ . The Hall voltage  $U_h$  here is positive at the third tap  $a3$  relative to the fourth tap  $a4$ . In the event the resistance values are not equal, for example, the first resistance segment has a smaller resistance value than the second resistance segment, then the potential at the third tap  $a3$  is lower than at the fourth tap  $a4$ . A bridge offset is produced through the third and fourth taps  $a3, a4$  of  $U_{offset1} = I_{a12}/(R1 - R2)$ . The result is an offset-affected voltage  $U_{h1} = U_h + U_{offset1}$ .

When a voltage  $U_{a34}$  is applied at the third and fourth taps  $a_3, a_4$  to cause the current  $I = I_{45} = I_{42}$  to flow between them, the current is determined for  $I_{42} = U_{34}/(R_4 + R_1) = U_{34}/(R_3 + R_2)$  where  $I_4 = I_{45} + I_{43}$  and  $I_3 = I_{23} + I_{43}$ . The two numbers after the letter  $I$  indicate the number of taps between which the current flow must be registered. The same applies to the numbers after the upper-case  $U$ . Given a constant magnetic field  $B$ , a positive Hall voltage  $U_{12} = U_{h2}$  is produced at the third and fourth taps  $a_3, a_4$ . If the resistance value of the first resistance segment  $R_1$  is smaller than the value of the second resistance segment  $R_2$ , as is the case here, then the potential at the second tap  $a_2$  is lower than at the first tap  $a_1$ . Between these taps, a bridge offset of  $U_{offset2} = I_{a43}/(R_2 - R_1)$ , and thus a voltage of  $U_{h2} = U_{offset2} + U_h$ , is created.

If the two determined and offset-affected voltage values  $U_{h1}, U_{h2}$  are added, the result, when the two currents  $I_{a43}$  and  $I_{a12}$  are of equal magnitude, is a Hall voltage  $U_h = U_{a15} + U_{a34} = 2*U_h + U_{offset1} - U_{offset2} = 2*U_h$ . The current feed and voltage feed into the bridge must be implemented as precisely as possible to achieve this.

Calculation of the resistance bridge shows that the offset is equal as long as the resistance and current conditions do not change in both measurements. Compensation may be affected if the measuring impedance at the bridge is not taken into account. The rule that applies here is that the measuring impedance must be high for current in-feed and low for voltage in-feed.

FIG. 4A shows a crosswise arrangement of taps on the surface of the Hall sensor element  $S$ . Based on this arrangement, two central measurement groups are formed linearly around the central tap  $a_1$  from a first linear tap arrangement  $a_1 - a_5$ , and from a second measurement group with linearly arranged taps  $a_2^* - a_5^*$  oriented orthogonally relative to this arrangement at an angle of  $\alpha = 90^\circ$ . With this arrangement, magnetic field vectors  $B$  in the plane of the Hall sensor element can be determined that do not run orthogonally relative to one of the two measurement

groups. In particular, an arrangement of this type is capable of making measurements of rotational angles. Using a vertical Hall-tap structure of this type, it is possible to measure magnetic field vectors of the magnetic field  $B$  in the plane of the Hall sensor element  $S$  or of the chip plane, whereby a polar array uses a scanning process to determine the maximum Hall voltage. In particular, contacts perpendicular to the current flow at the chip surface may be also used to take measurements of a magnetic flux perpendicular to the surface of the Hall sensor element  $S$ , thereby enabling three main vectors of a magnetic flux to be determined using a single component.

With the goal of reducing computational expenditure in the control device  $C$  of the sensor 1, or of an external control device to which Hall voltages  $U_{h1}$ ,  $U_{h2}$ ,  $U_h$  are fed, it is possible to arrange a plurality of additional taps  $a_{3'}$ ,  $a_{2'}$ ,  $a_{2''}$ ,  $a_{2'''}$  on the surface of the Hall sensor element  $S$ . These additional taps are advantageously arranged on concentric tracks  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  around the central first tap  $a_1$ . As the diagram shows, it is also possible to arrange a greater number of second taps  $a_{2^*}$ ,  $a_{2'}$ ,  $a_{2''}$ ,  $a_{2'''}$  on track  $d_1$  at a greater distance from the central first tap  $a_1$  than on track  $d_2$  which is located closer to the central first tap  $a_1$ . An arrangement of this type is advantageous, for example, if the placing of an equally large number of contact points on the interior second track  $d_2$  is not feasible for reasons of space, or for reasons related to metrology, or in view of possible interference due to the size of the required contact points. In this case, to effect a determination of a missing tap  $a_{3'''}$  at an alignment angle of  $\alpha_1$ , an interpolation of the values from multiple measurements may be performed by the individual taps  $a_{2^*}$ ,  $a_{2''}$ ,  $a_{2'''}$ ,  $a_{2'}$  on the external track  $d_1$  and the existing taps  $a_{3^*}$ ,  $a_{3'}$  on the interior track  $d_2$ .

In particular, with multiple measurement groups arranged on the surface, it is possible to effect Hall voltages to determine a magnetic field  $B$ , including its angular course through the Hall

sensor element S, using different individual measurements for each individual measurement group, as well as with a common measurement for multiple measurement groups simultaneously. In this last case, for example, a Hall sensor current is fed in through the central tap a1, and extracted through multiple or all external second taps a2, a2\*, a5, a5\*. In analogous fashion, Hall voltages are also tapped simultaneously in multiple directions.

Required calculations may be implemented both by logic elements, as part of an integrated circuit arrangement within the control device C, as well as by an arithmetic unit within the control device C, or in an external arithmetic unit.

One aspect that must be highlighted is the use of a vertical Hall sensor 1 in which the vertically generated Hall voltage is measured, which voltage is induced by a current flow of the Hall sensor current I in a semiconductor crystal S and in a magnetic flux B within the plane of the crystal surface, wherein two independent measurements are performed by the displacement, specifically the transposition, of the measurement points for the current feed points and voltage tapping points. The offset voltage or Hall voltage is thus eliminated through the addition or subtraction of the two measurement results.

A system of this type may be described by a bridge of rotationally symmetric design, (i.e., the bridge is composed of resistance regions of equal size). In a system of this type, the vertical Hall voltage is measured at zero current. Using a crosswise configured structure, it is also possible to determine two orthogonal magnetic field that which enable the rotational angle of the magnetic field to be determined. In a preferred embodiment, the current directions of the system are rotated incrementally about small angles  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$  to determine the direction of the magnetic field vector B in the plane of the Hall sensor element S using simple intensity



comparisons. In addition, the Hall potential can be tapped at measurement points applied perpendicularly to a current flow on the surface in order also to measure a magnetic flux.

The time intervals for the individual measurements must be chosen such that there is no expectation of any excessively large variation of the magnetic field B.

FIGs. 5A and 5B essentially show elements from FIGs. 3A and 3B, and so for the sake of simplification only differences will be described. The Hall sensor element S shown rests on a base L that is preferably composed of a low-resistance material. A current flow with two components, a vertical component and a lateral component, passes through resistance regions R1 – R4. In the two chopper phases, current accordingly no longer flows through the same resistance regions in the bridge circuit. As a result, in a configuration of this type inferior offset compensations may be obtained; however, a minimum level of offset compensation is nonetheless achieved. The degradation becomes worse as the base layer L becomes more conductive, and for this reason a rear-side short circuit is prevented in the preferred embodiments by applying a highly conductive material. If a base layer of this type is required, a high-resistance material is thus preferred, such as one composed, for example, of an oxide or a PN-junction operating in the reverse direction.

Although the present invention has been shown and described with respect to several preferred embodiments thereof, various changes, omissions and additions to the form and detail thereof, may be made therein, without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

What is claimed is: